



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/686,553	10/14/2003	Patrick Jay Walsh	8285-646	3477

757 7590 03/08/2007  
BRINKS HOFER GILSON & LIONE  
P.O. BOX 10395  
CHICAGO, IL 60610

EXAMINER
----------

NGUYEN, DAVID Q

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

2617

SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
3 MONTHS	03/08/2007	PAPER

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

**Office Action Summary**

Application No.

10/686,553

Applicant(s)

WALSH, PATRICK JAY

Examiner

David Q. Nguyen

Art Unit

2617

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --  
Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

**Status**

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 16 November 2006.
- 2a) ☒ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

**Disposition of Claims**

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-12, 19, 21 and 23-25 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-12, 19, 21 and 23-25 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

**Application Papers**

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

**Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119**

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some \* c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.
  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
- \* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

**Attachment(s)**

- |  |   |
|--|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)                                | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)<br>Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)                       | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application                       |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)<br>Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____  |

### **DETAILED ACTION**

1. In view of the appeal brief filed on 11/16/06, PROSECUTION IS HEREBY REOPENED. A new ground of rejection is set forth below.

To avoid abandonment of the application, appellant must exercise one of the following two options:

(1) file a reply under 37 CFR 1.111 (if this Office action is non-final) or a reply under 37 CFR 1.113 (if this Office action is final); or,

(2) initiate a new appeal by filing a notice of appeal under 37 CFR 41.31 followed by an appeal brief under 37 CFR 41.37. The previously paid notice of appeal fee and appeal brief fee can be applied to the new appeal. If, however, the appeal fees set forth in 37 CFR 41.20 have been increased since they were previously paid, then appellant must pay the difference between the increased fees and the amount previously paid.

### ***Response to Arguments***

2. Applicant's arguments, see the appeal brief, filed on 11/16/06, with respect to the rejection(s) of claim(s) 1-12, 19, 21, and 23-25 have been fully considered and are persuasive. Therefore, the final rejection has been withdrawn. However, upon further consideration, a new ground(s) of rejection is made in view of Zellner et al. (US 2002/0077897 A1).

### ***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103***

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

Art Unit: 2617

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

3. Claims 2-5, 7-10 and 24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Zellner et al. (US 6675017B1) in view of Zellner et al (US 20020077897).

Regarding claims 1 and 19, Zellner discloses a method for providing privacy management for a wireless communication device, the method comprising the steps of: establishing rules of communication between the wireless communication device and a remote source (see col. 4, lines 20-37); and managing communications between the wireless communication device and the remote source in response to establish the rules of communication to: allow the remote source to know either an identity or a location of the wireless communication device (see col. 4, lines 20-37 and Col. 6, lines 3-30), and prohibit the location of the wireless communication device from being known to the remote source in response to determining the wireless device does not approve of the remote source (see col. 4, lines 20-37 and Col. 6, lines 3-30). Zellner et al. (US 6675017B1) do not disclose prohibiting the remote source from knowing both the identity and location of the wireless communication device in response to determining the wireless approves of the remote source. However, Zellner et al (US 20020077897) disclose prohibiting the remote source from knowing both the identity and location of the wireless communication device in response to determining the wireless approves of the remote source (see abstract). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the above teaching of Zellner et al (US 20020077897) to Zellner et al. (US 6675017B1) in order to be desirable

Art Unit: 2617

for privacy reasons, to comply with a government regulation, or to implement a telecommunication service option selected by the mobile subscriber.

Regarding claims 6,23 and 25, Zellner et al. (US 6675017B1) discloses a method for providing privacy management for a wireless communication device, the method comprising the steps of:

establishing rules of communication between the wireless communication device and a remote source (see col. 4, lines 20-37 and Col. 6, lines 3-30); and managing communications between the wireless communication device (see col. 4, lines 20-37 and Col. 6, lines 3-30), wherein the rules of communication device further comprise:

a rule that the wireless communicate device shall only communicate with the remote source having an acceptable identity (see col. 4, lines 20-37 and Col. 6, lines 3-30); and

wherein the step of managing further comprises:

receiving a request for location information associated with the wireless communication device from the remote source response to the remote source being pushed to the wireless communication device by the remote source (see col. 4, lines 20-37 and Col. 6, lines 3-30);

determining whether or not the wireless communication device approves of the remote source in response to the step of receiving the request for location information (see col. 4, lines 20-37 and Col. 6, lines 3-30);

sending a request for the location information to the wireless communication device responsive to determining that the identity of the remote source is acceptable to the wireless communication device (see col. 4, lines 20-37 and Col. 6, lines 3-30);

receiving the location information from the wireless communication device in response to the step of sending the request for location information to the wireless communication device (see col. 4, lines 20-37 and Col. 6, lines 3-30); sending the location information to the remote source responsive to the step of receiving the location information from the wireless communication device (see col. 4, lines 20-37 and Col. 6, lines 3-30); and rejecting the request from the remote source in response to the step of determining that the wireless communication device does not approve of the remote source (see col. 4, lines 20-37 and Col. 6, lines 3-30).

Regarding claim 11, Zellner et al. (US 6675017B1) also disclose the steps of establishing and managing are performed by a wireless communication network establish the rules of communication and manages the communication between the wireless communication device and the remote source (see col. 4, lines 20-37 and Col. 6, lines 3-30 and fig. 3).

Regarding claim 12, Zellner et al. (US 6675017B1) also disclose a location privacy manager establish the rules of communication and manages the communication between the wireless communication device and the remote source (see col. 4, lines 20-37 and Col. 6, lines 3-30 and fig. 3).

Regarding claim 21, Zellner et al. (US 6675017B1) disclose a wireless communication network comprising: an antenna for communicating radio frequency signals over a radio frequency communication channel between the wireless communication network and a wireless communication device (fig. 3, inherent); a receiver, coupled to the antenna, for receiving the radio frequency signals from the wireless communication device (fig. 3, inherent in mobile communication system); a

transmitter, coupled to the antenna, for transmitting the radio frequency signals to the wireless communication device (fig. 3, inherent in mobile communication system); a communication switch, coupled to the transmitter and the receiver, for routing information communicated over radio frequency communication channel (fig. 3; inherent in mobile communication system); a memory device for storing rules of communication between the wireless communication device and a remote source (see fig. 3, inherent in mobile communication system); a location privacy manager interface, coupled to the remote source, for communicating signals between the wireless communication network and the remote source (see fig. 3); and a controller, coupled to the communication switch, the memory device and the location privacy manager interface, for managing communications between the wireless communication device and the remote source in response to the rules of communication to: allow the remote source to know either an identity or a location of the wireless communication device (see col. 4, lines 20-37 and Col. 6, lines 3-30 and fig. 3) in response to determining the wireless communication device approves of the remote source (see col. 4, lines 20-37 and Col. 6, lines 3-30 and fig. 3) and prohibit the location of the wireless communication device from being known to the remote source in response to determining the wireless communication device does not approve of the remote source (see col. 4, lines 20-37 and Col. 6, lines 3-30 and fig. 3). Zellner et al. (US 6675017B1) do not disclose prohibiting the remote source from knowing both the identity and location of the wireless communication device in response to determining the wireless approves of the remote source. However, Zellner et al (US 20020077897) disclose prohibiting the remote source from knowing both the identity and location of the wireless communication device in response to determining the wireless

Art Unit: 2617

approves of the remote source (see abstract). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the above teaching of Zellner et al (US 20020077897) to Zellner et al. (US 6675017B1) in order to be desirable for privacy reasons, to comply with a government regulation, or to implement a telecommunication service option selected by the mobile subscriber.

4. Claims 2-5,7-10 and 24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Zellner et al. (US 6675017B1) in view of Zellner et al. (US 6675017B1) and further in view of Johansson et al. (US 6,442,391).

Regarding claim 2, Zellner et al. (US 6675017B1) in view of Zellner et al. (US 6675017B1) do not mention the step of managing further comprising:

receiving a request for location information associated with the wireless communication device from the remote source; determining whether or not the wireless communication device approves of the remote source in response to receiving the request for location information; sending the location information to the remote source in response to determining that the wireless communication device approves of the remote source. However, Johansson et al. also discloses receiving a request for location information associated with the wireless communication device from the remote source (see step B1 in Fig. 5); determining whether or not the wireless communication device approves of the remote source in response to receiving the request for location information (see steps C2-C5 in fig. 5); sending the location information to the remote source in response to determining that the wireless communication device approves of the remote source (see step C6-C8 in Fig. 5). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the above teaching



Art Unit: 2617

of Johansson et al. to Zellner et al. (US 6675017B1) in view of Zellner et al. (US 6675017B1) so that users are ability to block their location information on wireless networks that track location and identity information.

Regarding claims 3, the method of Zellner et al. (US 6675017B1) in view of Zellner et al. (US 6675017B1) and further in view of Johansson et al. also discloses wherein the remote source is a location privacy manager (for instance, a firm of haulage contractor-see col. 7, lines 31-744 of Johansson), the wireless communication device approves of the location privacy manager when the wireless communication device is registered to operate with the location privacy manager, and the wireless communication device does not approve of the location privacy manager when the wireless communication device is not registered to operate with the location privacy manager (see step C2 in fig. 5 of Johansson).

Regarding claim 4, the method of Zellner et al. (US 6675017B1) in view of Zellner et al. (US 6675017B1) and further in view of Johansson et al. also discloses wherein the remote source is a location-enabled service (for instance, a service provider-see col. 9, lines 21-27 of Johansson), the wireless communication device approves of the location-enabled service when the wireless communication device accepts an identity of the location-enabled service, and wherein the wireless communication device does not approve of the location-enabled service when the wireless communication device does not accept the identity of the location-enabled service (see col. 9, line 28 through col. 10 line, also see steps E3-E4 in fig. 6 of Johansson).

Regarding claim 5, the method of Zellner et al. (US 6675017B1) in view of Zellner et al. (US 6675017B1) and further in view of Johansson et al. also discloses

Art Unit: 2617

wherein a wireless communication device manages communications between the wireless communications device and the remote source (see fig. 3 of Zellner (US 6675017B1)).

Regarding claim 7, Zellner et al. (US 6675017B1) in view of Zellner et al. (US 6675017B1) do not mention wherein the remote source is a location privacy manager, wherein the wireless communication device approves of the location privacy manager when the wireless communication device is registered to operate with the location privacy manager, and wherein the wireless communication device does not approve of the location privacy manager when the wireless communication device is not registered to operate with the location privacy manager. However, Johansson et al discloses wherein the remote source is a location privacy manager (for instance, a firm of haulage contractor-see col. 7, lines 31-744), wherein the wireless communication device approves of the location privacy manager when the wireless communication device is registered to operate with the location privacy manager, and wherein the wireless communication device does not approve of the location privacy manager when the wireless communication device is not registered to operate with the location privacy manager (see step C2 in fig. 5). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the above teaching of Johansson et al. to Zellner et al. (US 6675017B1) in view of Zellner et al. (US 6675017B1) so that users are ability to block their location information on wireless networks that track location and identity information.

Regarding claim 8, the method of Zellner et al. (US 6675017B1) in view of Zellner et al. (US 6675017B1) also discloses wherein the remote source is a location-enabled service (for instance, a service provider-see col. 9, lines 21-27 Johansson et al),

Art Unit: 2617

wherein the wireless communication device approves of the location-enabled service when the wireless communication device accepts an identity of the location-enabled service, and wherein the wireless communication device does not approve of the location-enabled service when the wireless communication device does not accept the identity of the location-enabled service (see col. 9, line 28 through col. 10 line, also see steps E3-E4 in fig. 6 Johansson et al).

Regarding claims 9 and 24, the method of Zellner et al. (US 6675017B1) in view of Zellner et al. (US 6675017B1) and further in view of Johansson et al. also at least a wireless communication network and a location privacy manager manages communications between the wireless communication device and the remote source (see col. 4, lines 20-37 and Col. 6, lines 3-30 and fig. 3 of Zellner (US 6675017B1)).

Regarding claim 10, the method of Zellner et al. (US 6675017B1) in view of Zellner et al. (US 6675017B1) also discloses the wireless communication device establish the rules of communication and manages the communication between the wireless communication device and the remote source (see col. 4, lines 20-37 and Col. 6, lines 3-30 and fig. 3 of Zellner (US 6675017B1)).

### ***Conclusion***

5. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to David Q. Nguyen whose telephone number is 571-272-7844. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30AM-5:30PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, JOSEPH H. FEILD can be reached on (571)272-4090. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 2617

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.



David Q Nguyen  
Examiner  
Art Unit 2617



JOSEPH FIELD  
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER